

KCH

RR
MANAGEMENT
P Pine Ranges
Burgess Spring

February 5, 1946

Mr. T. S. Brown
Farm Advisor
Susanville, California

Dear Stan:

I am enclosing a graph and brief tabulation showing the weights of the Burgess Spring cattle by the grades you picked last fall. Out of a total of 38 head, 10 were graded 3+, 17 were graded 3, and 11 were graded 3-. The 3+ cattle gained decidedly more than the other two grades. They averaged 473 pounds per head on May 23, which was 48 pounds more than the 3 and 3- grades on the same date. This difference is not shown in the graph in order that the curves may be more easily compared. The curves show the amount and trend of weights. Let me know if you want more detailed information on these grades.

We weighed and described 52 heifers at McClellands last Saturday. Will weigh at the ranch again around the end of April.

Sincerely yours,

A. L. Hormay

A. L. HORMAY, Ecologist

rcat

FILE COPY

AH

RR
MANAGEMENT
P Pine Ranges
Burgess Spring

February 5, 1946

FOREST SUPERVISOR, Lassen N. F.

FROM: A. L. HORMAY, Ecologist

Thanks for arranging for the cattle weighing at McClelland's last Saturday. As you know the weather was very disagreeable but Pierce McClelland and I weighed and described 52 head of weaner heifers.

This year we plan to use only 12 head in each of the three experimental herds at Burgess Spring. This is 3 head less per group than was used last season. We don't have enough capacity in the timber pasture for 15 head groups.

I feel that we need some weights of cattle run on the open range to compare with our experimental groups. Stockmen have raised this point of comparison. What do you think of the idea? Would it be feasible to turn an additional 12 head of McClelland's heifers out on the range adjoining Burgess Spring? The 52 head we picked last week include 12 head for this purpose — and 4 extras for replacements.

I am sorry I did not get to see you or Pete Hook to discuss the matter when I was up. I had to return to Berkeley Sunday. I saw Phil Lord for a while, however.

I expect to weigh the cattle again just before they leave the home ranch in the latter part of April. May I hear from you soon on whether a group of cattle can be run on the outside range?

A. L. Hormay



RH

M. A. ...

FOREST SERVICE - SAN FRANCISCO 11, CALIFORNIA

Page files

Pierce McClelland
Standish, California
Gus Hormay

Feb. 13, 1946

Will send 50 numbered neck straps to Standish by mail tomorrow.

GHormay;jmj
file copy

GH

Done file

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Susanville, California

TO : Gus Hormay - California Forest & Range
Experiment Station

FROM : Acting Forest Supervisor - Lassen

SUBJECT: RR-MANAGEMENT^P-Pine Ranges-Burgess Spring

DATE: February 13, 1946

Reference is made to your letter dated February 5.

It appears considerably difficult to round up twelve head of stock from the outside range for weighing. Since our ranges, too, are fully stocked, would it be impractical from your experimental point of view to turn these heifers out on McClelland's range adjacent to Eagle Lake?

We might be able to use some of McKenzie's stock adjacent to Burgess Spring for comparative purposes. However, different ages, weights and breeding of these cattle may not be suitable for your experiment. We have cut McKenzie's permit 100 head this year on this allotment.

We will be glad to discuss this matter further with you and try to work out something that will give you the desired information.

cc Regional Office

James Kaiser

Platt

Boyer files

CO-OPERATIVE EXTENSION WO.
IN
AGRICULTURE AND HOME ECONOMICS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE
AND
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
CO-OPERATING

EXTENSION SERVICE
FARM ADVISOR WORK
AGRICULTURE CLUB WORK
HOME DEMONSTRATION WORK

*RP
MANAGEMENT
PP 8/24/45
Box 247*

Office of County Agent
Lassen County
Susanville, California
February 15, 1946

Mr. A. L. Hormay
33 Giannini Hall
University of California
Berkeley 4, California

Dear Gus:

McClellands informed me that you are planning on using some sort of neck straps for the heifers for identification. I am wondering if the neck chains wouldn't be better.

Veterinary Supply houses put out a chain that locks around the neck of a cow with a large number hanging on the bottom. These are used in many dairies. Franklin Serum Company of Denver, Colorado quotes these in lots less than 100 at 75¢ each.

You might discuss this with Vard.

Sincerely yours,

W. Brown
County Agent, Lassen County
Susanville, California

TSB/nw