SIMULATION OF ABOVE TREELINE SNOWDRIFT FORMATION USING A NUMERICAL SNOW-TRANSPORT MODEL

Ethan M. Greene*, Glen E. Liston, Roger A. Pielke, Sr.
Department of Atmospheric Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado

ABSTRACT: A physically based, numerical snow-transport model (SnowTran-3D) is used to successfully simulate the above treeline snowdrift evolution around Montgomery Pass which lies on the Continental Divide in the Northern Colorado Rocky Mountains. The model accounts for key snow-transport components including: saltation, suspension, deposition, erosion, and sublimation. The snow-transport model requires static inputs of vegetation-type and topography, and temporally-evolving spatial distributions of air temperature, humidity, precipitation, wind speed, and wind direction. A simple wind-flow model, driven by data from a ridge-top meteorological station, is used to simulate the flow field over the topographic drift catchment. The snow-transport model outputs include the spatial and temporal evolution of snow depth resulting from variations in precipitation, saltation and suspension transport, and sublimation. The model is forced using SNOTEL and meteorological data from the 1997-98 winter, and the resulting model outputs are compared with observed snowdrift distributions.

KEYWORDS: snow distribution, snow drifting, snow evaporation

1. INTRODUCTION

The redistribution of snow by wind is a major contributing factor to the spatial and temporal distribution of seasonal snowcovers. In alpine environments it is a dominant force behind the distribution of snow, and also plays a key role in determining the amount of snowcover returned to the atmosphere by sublimation (Schmidt 1972; 1991). Hence, the physical processes associated with blowing and drifting snow are of importance to a wide scope of disciplines. The ability to accurately simulate or predict snow redistribution by wind can improve spring runoff predictions in terms of both magnitude and spatial variability, especially in areas where snow has been blown into a neighboring drainage catchment. Redistribution of snow also affects the spatial distribution of early-season soil moisture which can directly influence agriculture production (Olienyk 1979), and alpine germination and growth (Evans et al. 1989; Walker et al. 1993). Reliable calculations of snow-mass redistribution by wind into avalanche-path starting zones would allow more accurate avalanche stability predictions, assisting avalanche forecasters in their efforts to safeguard highways and ski areas, and provide stability information for backcountry areas (Perla 1970; LaChapelle 1980; Buser et al. 1985; Schmidt and Hartman 1986; Ferguson et al. 1990; McClung and Schaerer 1993; Birkeland 1997). Improvements in our understanding and ability to simulate the erosion and deposition of seasonal snow are expected to assist advancements in hydrology, agriculture, and avalanche forecasting and safety.

*Corresponding author address: Ethan M. Greene, Dept. of Atmospheric Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523; tel: 970-491-8540; fax: 970-491-8293; email: greene@tachu.atmos.colostate.edu

There have been many previous efforts to model snowdrift formation. Many of these efforts have approached this process as a two-dimensional problem (Berg and Caine 1975; Tabler 1975; Berg 1986; Liston et al. 1993; Sundsbø1997). These studies examined snow transport over a barrier, creating snow-distribution profiles on the windward and lee sides of the barrier. The Prairie Blowing Snow Model (Pomeroy et al. 1993) included important processes such as sublimation in a blowing snow model capable of simulating equilibrium transport under steady-state conditions.

Fewer studies have attempted to model the three-dimensional distribution of snow deposited by wind. Using a model which computed the air flow and predicted snowdrift rates, Uematsu (1993) simulated snowdrifts over a level surface, and Uematsu et al. (1991) simulated wind-flow patterns and snow distributions around a small building and small hill. Pomeroy et al. (1997) modified the Prairie Blowing Snow Model for use in the Arctic. The model was driven by monthly-mean climatological data and produced an end-of-winter snow distribution. A rule- and cell-based model of snow transport and distribution has also been applied to a three-dimensional snow-distribution problem in Scotland (Purves et al. 1998). Liston and Sturm (1998) developed and used a model to reproduce the three-dimensional, wind-modified snow distribution in Arctic Alaska. This model performed well for a site which included complex terrain devoid of trees.

In the current study, the Liston and Sturm model (SnowTran-3D) is applied to a Colorado alpine site. The central feature of the study area is an above treeline portion of the Continental Divide. Daily-averaged atmospheric fields are used as input to drive the model, and the modeled snowdrift distribution is compared to the observed snow distribution.

2. SITE DESCRIPTION

Montgomery Pass is situated on the Continental Divide in the northern Colorado Rocky Mountains. North of Rocky Mountain National Park and Colorado Highway 14, the pass lies in the Colorado State Forest section of the Medicine Bow Mountains. At an elevation of 3333 m, Montgomery Pass is above treeline and part of a north/south running ridge-line (Figure 1).



Figure 1: USGS 7.5 minute quadrangle for Clark Peak Colorado. The main north/south running ridge-line is the Continental Divide. The Cache La Poudre drainage lies to the east of the Continental Divide, and North Park lies to the west.

The simulation domain is centered on the Continental Divide. Montgomery Pass lies near the southern boundary of the domain (Figure 2). Elevations within the simulation domain range between 3175 and 3521 meters above sea level. The domain is 2.7 km along the north south axis, 2.25 km along the east west axis, and includes dense forest cover on both sides of the ridge-line.

3. FIELD PROCEDURES

In mid-December 1997, a 3 m tower and instrumentation array were installed on the ridge-line 800 m north of Montgomery Pass (Figure 2). The array contained instruments capable of recording air temperature, relative humidity, wind direction, and wind speed. Thirty-minute averages of these observations were saved on a Campbell CR-10 data logger. The weather station was in place from December 1997 until April 1998. Precipitation measurements were obtained from the Natural Resources Conservation Service SNOTEL site at Joe Wright Creek. The Joe Wright Creek site lies 4 km to the southeast at 3066 m above sea level.

On February 18, 1998 the snow depth was observed along an east/west-running transect. The transect extended from the east treeline to the west treeline and crossed the ridge crest near the weather station site. Using a set of probes, snow depth measurements were taken at 5 m intervals along this transect (Figure 2). These data were used to verify the SnowTran-3D simulations.



Figure 2: Topography and vegetation for the model simulation domain. Contour interval is 25 m. Treeline marks the division between evergreen forest and alpine tundra. Also shown is the location of the remote weather station and the observation transect.

4. MODEL DESCRIPTION

SnowTran-3D was developed to simulate blowing snow processes in complex terrain (Liston and Sturm 1998). The snow-transport model is fully three-dimensional, in that it is implemented in two horizontal dimensions (x and y), and evolves the snow and snow-water-equivalent depth (the z dimension) over a topographically-variable domain. The model considers only transport variations resulting from accelerating and decelerating flow (i.e., convergent and divergent wind fields); non-equilibrium transport due to temporal wind-speed accelerations and decelerations (e.g., transport variations due to turbulent wind fluctuations) are not accounted for. The topography within the domain can vary from flat, to gently rolling, to highly varying, such as regions where flow separation might occur over sharp ridges, gullies, or valleys.

Figure 3 illustrates the key input parameters (solar radiation, precipitation, wind speed and direction, air temperature, humidity, topography, vegetation snow-holding capacity), the key processes (saltation, turbulent-suspension, sublimation), and the key outputs (spatial distribution of snow erosion and deposition) from the model. The six primary components of the snow-transport model are: 1) the computation of the wind-flow forcing field; 2) the wind-shear stress on the surface; 3) the transport of snow by saltation; 4) the transport of snow by turbulent-suspension; 5) the sublimation of saltating and suspended snow; and 6) the accumulation and erosion of snow at the snow surface, a lower boundary that is allowed to move with time.



Figure 3: Key features of the snow-transport model applied to topographically-variable terrain (from Liston and Sturm 1998).

5. METHODOLOGY

For this study the model uses a 30 m grid over the previously-described domain (Figure 2). Temporal integrations were computed daily for fifty-six days. This time period spanned from the installation of the weather station (December 24, 1997), until the snow depth transect was observed (February 18, 1998).

Many studies have shown that precipitation amounts vary with location and elevation in mountainous terrain (Hjermstad 1970; Baopu 1995; Johnson and Hanson 1995; Snook and Pielke 1995; Obleitner and Mayr 1996). The simulations for this study were initially run with the observed precipitation from Joe Wright Creek. These simulations produced a snowdrift distribution similar to that observed. However, the modeled drift mass was less than the observed drift mass. In order to compensate for the difference in elevation between the study site and the Joe Wright Creek SNOTEL site, the precipitation was increased until the model-simulated drift mass was within 1% of the observed. This compensation for elevation differences increased the period precipitation by 2%. The combination of the atmospheric data collected by the instrumentation array, and the adjusted precipitation data from the SNOTEL site were used to drive SnowTran-3D during these simulations.

Since the initial snowdrift distribution was unknown, the model was initialized with the October thru December precipitation from the Joe Wright Creek SNOTEL site. The initial precipitation was subject to the same elevation compensation as the daily precipitation. This initial snowcover was assigned a density of 350 kg/m^3; the average density observed from snowpits in the study area. To run SnowTran-3D, several other parameters must be defined, such as the threshold shear stress, the surface roughness length, and the vegetation snow-holding capacity. Values for the parameters used in the simulation are summarized in Table 1. In addition, the atmospheric forcing data used to drive the model (air temperature, relative humidity, wind speed, wind direction, and precipitation) are given in Figure 4.

6. RESULTS

Due to persistent westerly winds with velocities greater than 5 m/s (Figure 4), the majority of the snow on the west side of the terrain barrier was eroded away and transported to the east side of the barrier. The model was able to adequately simulate the snow drift distribution across the terrain barrier (Figure 5). In the treed areas the winter snowpack remained unaltered, while in the above-treeline areas the snowpack was eroded down to the surface holding capacity (Table 1). The general snow-distribution profile, and the relationships between treed windward and lee slopes are illustrated in Figure 6, where the simulated snow depth has been enhanced by a factor of 3 in order to make it easier to see the snow distribution. On the east side of the terrain barrier the model deposited the snow in a well defined row of drifts which runs parallel to, and between the ridge-crest and the eastern treeline (Figure 7).

As part of the snow-transport scheme, the model computes the mass of snow removed from the domain due to sublimation of the blowing snow. Divid-

Table 1: User-defined constants used in model simulations.

C_v		vegetation holding snow-capacity (m)
	5.0	evergreen trees
	0.003	alpine tundra
$z_{0_{veg}}$		vegetation roughness length (m)
	0.80	evergreen trees (Pielke 1984)
	0.10	alpine tundra
f	500.0	equilibrium fetch distance (m) (Pomeroy et al. 1993)
u_{*_t}	0.25	threshold wind shear velocity (m/s) (Schmidt 1986)
$z_{0_{snow}}$	0.005	snow roughness length (m)
Υ_c	400	topographic curvature weighting factor
Υ_s	10	topographic slope weighting factor
μ	3.0	scaling constant for non-equilibrium saltation transport
ρ_s	350.0	snow density (kg/m3)
σ_c	0.5	cloud cover faction



Figure 4: December 24, 1997 thru February 18, 1998 daily average atmospheric forcing data of air temperature relative humidity, wind speed and direction, and snow-water-equivalent precipitation used in model simulations.



Figure 5: a) Cross section of model topography at the location of the observed transect. b) Cross section of modeled and observed snow depths (m) for February 18, 1998.

ing this value by the total precipitation input (both initialized and daily precipitation) yields the mass fraction of the snow removed by sublimation. Figure 8 shows that over the ridge-crest between 9 and 15% of the snow was returned to the atmosphere by sublimation. Isolated points along the terrain barrier sublimated up to 30% of the period precipitation. These numbers are consistent with the findings of Pomeroy and Gray (1995), and Liston and Sturm (1998) for regions of the Canadian Prairies and Arctic North America.



Figure 6: Snowdrift profile along observed transect. The snow depth is enhanced by a factor of 3.

7. DISCUSSION

The model simulation produced a snowdrift profile which compares well with the observed profile. Figure 5 shows that the simulated drift has a similar slope and width to the observed drift. The model was also able to simulate the rapid decrease in snow depth at the transition from treeline to alpine tundra on the west side of the divide (Figures 5 and 7). The maximum depth of the observed drift is nearly 2 m greater than the simulated drift. We consider this result acceptable due to the difference in resolution between the observations and the model grid (model Δx = 30 m, observations Δx = 5 m). Although the observations show a peak depth of 5.5 m, it is a sharp peak occurring over a distance of 10 m. At the current resolution, the model is unable to resolve features of this scale.

It is difficult to obtain a quantitative figure for the amount of sublimation which should occur in this type of environment. The physical process is non-linear and cannot be measured remotely. The model calculates the amount of sublimation using the atmospheric fields provided. However, we have no way of determining the error in these calculations. If our precipitation estimates do not adequately compensate for the elevation differences, it may be due, in part, to insufficient sublimation values calculated by the model.

The least substantiated method used during this study was the precipitation adjustment for elevation differences. Although it is widely accepted that in mountainous regions precipitation varies with location and elevation, a method for adjusting precipitation data which is both reliable and universal has not yet been established. In a high alpine area, such as Montgomery Pass, it is difficult to directly measure snowfall accurately due to relatively high winds.



Figure 7: Model-simulated spatial distribution of snow depth (m) for February 18, 1998.

8. CONCLUSIONS

The weather at the study site was characterized by persistent winds with velocities at or above the threshold speed for transport (Schmidt 1980; McClung and Schaerer 1993; Li and Pomeroy 1997). Due to the combined effects of synoptic weather patterns and topography the dominant wind direction was from the west. This resulted in the majority of the above-treeline snowpack being eroded from the west side of the divide, and being transported and deposited on the east side. The model simulated the physical processes associated with the wind-transport of snow, building a drift on the east side of the divide whose location, mass, width and slope compared well with observations. Given the difference in scales between the model grid and observation interval, the height of the drift also compared well with the observations. An improved pre-



Figure 8: Percent of year-to-date (February 18, 1998) snow-water-equivalent removed by sublimation of airborne snow.

cipitation data set, obtained from a position closer to the research site, would have allowed further scrutiny of the model's sublimation calculations.

Blowing and drifting snow, and the snow distributions which these processes create, have relevance to many disciplines. The ability to accurately predict this phenomena can enhance work being done to improve safety and heighten economic goals. Examples of this include, more accurate spring runoff estimates, the capture of snow to improve spring soil moisture conditions for agricultural production, and more accurate avalanche forecasts leading to shorter periods where transportation arteries are closed for control work. In addition, the implementation of a wind and blowing snow model, with the ability to be run in real time, could substantially assist avalanche prediction and control efforts in both the public and private sectors. This study is put forth as an initial demonstration that the tools and techniques required to simulate snow redistribution by wind are now becoming available.

Acknowledgments: The work described here was sponsored by NOAA grant NA67RJ015. The authors would like to thank Dr. William Malm of the National Park Service Air Resources Division; Air Resource Specialists; and Dr. Tom McKee of The Colorado Climate Center for the use of remote meteorological instrumentation. Special thanks is also extended to Dr. Kelly Elder, Roger Tree, John Kleist, Walt Naylor, and Terry Flint for their individual advice and assistance.

References

Baopu, F., 1995: The effects of orography on precipitation. *Bound.-Layer Meteor.*, **75**, 189-205.

Berg, N., and N. Caine, 1975: Prediction of natural snowdrift accumulation in alpine areas. Final Report to Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Expt. Station (USFS 19-388-CA), Boulder, Dept. of Geography, University of Colorado, 69 pp.

Berg, N.H. 1986: A deterministic model for snowdrift accumulation. *Proceedings of the International Snow Science Workshop.* Tahoe, California, 29-36.

Birkeland, K.W. 1997: Spatial and temporal variations in snow stability and snowpack conditions throughout the Bridger Mountains, Montana. Ph.D Dissertation, Arizona State University, p 161, 168, 174.

Buser, O., P. Fohn, W. Good, H. Gubler, and B. Salm. 1985: Different methods for the assessment of avalanche danger. *Cold Regions Sci. Tech.*, **10**, 199-218.

Evans, B.M., D.A. Walker, C.S. Benson, E.A. Nordstrand, and G.W. Petersen, 1989: Spatial interrelations between terrain, snow distributions and vegetation patterns at an Arctic foothills site in Alaska. *Holarctic Ecology,* **12**, 270-278.

Ferguson, S.A., M.B. Moore, R.T. Marriott, and P. Speers-Hayes, 1990: Avalanche weather forecasting at the northwest Avalanche Center, Seattle Washington, U.S.A. *J. Glaciol.*, **36**, 57-66.

Hjermstad, L.M., 1970: Influence of meteorological parameters on the distribution of precipitation across central Colorado mountains. Colorado State University Scientific Paper No. 163. Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado.

Johnson, G.L., and C.L. Hanson, 1994: Topographic and atmospheric influences on precipitation variability over a mountainous watershed. *J. Appl. Meteor.*, **34**, 68-87.

LaChapelle, E.R., 1980: The fundamental processes in conventional avalanche forecasting. *J. Glaciol.*, **26**, 75-84.

Liston, G.E., R.L. Brown, and J. Dent, 1993: A two-dimensional computational model of turbulent atmospheric surface flows with drifting snow. *Annals Glaciol.*, **18**, 281-286.

Liston, G.E., and M. Sturm, 1998: A snow-transport model for complex terrain. *J. Glaciol.*, in press.

Li, L., and J.W. Pomeroy, 1997: Estimates of threshold wind speeds for snow transport using meteorological data. *J. Appl. Meteor.*, **36**, 205-213.

McClung, D., and P. Schaerer, 1993: The Avalanche Handbook. Seattle, The Mountaineers. p 27-30.

Obleitner, F., G.J. Mayr, 1996: On the mesoscale structure of the intra-Alpine precipitation distribution during a typical winter snowfall event. *Meterol. Zeischrift*, **5**, 110-120.

Olienyk, J.P., J.R. Snyder, M.D. Skold, and W.O. Willis, 1979: The economic benefits and costs of managing windblown snow in the Northern Plains of the U.S.. *Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Wind Engineering*, July 1979, Fort Collins Colorado, 37-46.

Perla, R.I., 1970: On contributory factors in avalanche hazard evaluation. *Canadian Geotechnical J.*, **7**, 414-419.

Pielke, R.A., 1984: *Mesoscale meteorological modeling.* Academic Press, p 143.

Pomeroy, J.W., D.M. Gray, and P.G. Landine, 1993: The Prairie Blowing Snow Model: characteristics, validation, operation. *J. Hydrology*, **144**, 165-192.

Pomeroy, J. W., and D.M. Gray, 1995: Snowcover accumulation, relocation and management. National Hydrology Research Institute Science Report No. 7, Hydrological Sciences Division, NHRI Division of Hydrology, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, pp 144.

Pomeroy, J.W., P. Marsh, and D.M. Gray, 1997: Application of a distributed blowing snow model to the Arctic. *Hydrological Processes*, **11**, 1451-1464.

Purves, R.S., J.S. Barton, W.A. Mackaness, and D.E. Sugden, 1998: The development of a rule-based spatial model of wind transport and deposition of snow. *Annals Glaciol.*, **26**, 196-202.

Schmidt, R.A., 1972: Sublimation of wind-transported snow- A model. Res. Pap. RM-90 Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Forest Service, U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Fort Collins, Colorado.

Schmidt, R.A., 1980: Threshold wind-speeds and elastic impact in snow transport. *J. Glaciol.*, **26**, 453-467.

Schmidt, R.A., 1986: Transport rate of drifting snow and the mean wind speed profile. *Bound.-Layer Meteor.*, **34**, 213-241.

Schmidt, R.A., and H. Hartman, 1986: Storage and redistribution of snow upwind of an avalanche catchment. *Proceedings of the International Snow Science Workshop.* Tahoe, California, 37-40.

Schmidt, R.A., 1991: Sublimation of snow intercepted by an artificial conifer. *Agric. Forest Meteor.*, **54**, 1-27.

Snook, J.S., and R.A. Pielke, 1995: Diagnosing a Colorado heavy snow event with a nonhydrostatic mesoscale numerical model structured for operational use. *Wea. Forecasting*, **10**, 261-285.

Sundsbø, P.A., 1997: Numerical modelling and simulation of snow drift. Ph.D Dissertation, The Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway; Narvik Institute of Technology, Department of Building Science, Narvik, Norway, pp 112.

Tabler, R.D., 1975: Predicting profiles of snowdrifts in topographic catchments. *Proceedings of the 43rd Annual Western Snow Conference*, San Diego, California, 87-97.

Uematsu, T., T. Nakata, K. Takeuchi, Y. Arisawa. and Y. Kaneda, 1991: Three-dimensional numerical simulation of snowdrift. *Cold Regions Sci. Tech.*, **20**, 65-73.

Uematsu, T., 1993: Numerical study on snow transport and drift formation. *Annals Glaciol.*, **18**, 135-141.

Walker, D.A., J.C. Halfpenny, M.D. Walker, and C.A. Wessman, 1993: Long-term studies of snow-vegetation interactions. *BioScience*, **43**, 287-301.